

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

<b>MARY A. GARDNER,</b>	)	<b>CASE NO. 5:07CV3876</b>
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	<b>JUDGE PETER C. ECONOMUS</b>
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,</b>	)	<b>MEMORANDUM OPINION</b>
	)	<b>AND ORDER</b>
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	
	)	
	)	

This matter is an action to review a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's application for disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 416(i).

On January 10, 2008, the instant matter was automatically referred to United States Magistrate Judge David S. Perelman, pursuant to L.R. 72.2(b), for the issuance of a Report and Recommendation. (Dkt. # 6). On November 4, 2008, Magistrate Judge Perelman issued a Report and Recommendation advising that the final decision of the Commissioner should be affirmed. (Dkt. # 15).

Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that objections to a report and recommendation must be filed within ten (10) days after service. See FED. R. CIV. P. 72 (b). However, the Plaintiff has failed to timely file any such objections. Therefore, the Court concludes that the Plaintiff is satisfied with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and an

inefficient use of the Court's limited resources. See Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), *aff'd*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505 (6th Cir. 1991); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir.1981).

Therefore, the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Perelman (Dkt. # 15) is hereby **ADOPTED**, and the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is hereby **AFFIRMED**. Accordingly, this matter is **DISMISSED**.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

/s/ Peter C. Economus – March 9, 2009  
**PETER C. ECONOMUS**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**